

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,770	06/23/2005	Valentin Gala	23131	7291
535 7	10/02/2006		EXAMINER	
THE FIRM OF KARL F ROSS			TOLAN, EDWARD THOMAS	
5676 RIVERDALE AVENUE PO BOX 900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RIVERDALE (BRONX), NY 10471-0900			3725	
			DATE MAILED 10/02/2007	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

3) M Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: __

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 4 are objected to because of the following informalities.

In claim 1, line 10, "pres" should be --press--. In claim 4, line 2, "claims to 3" should be --claims 1 to 3--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the free supports" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The claim is "according to claims 1 to 6" but the free supports are not set forth until claim 4. The dependency should be amended to --according to one of claims 4 to 6--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,770

Art Unit: 3725

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahrweiler et al. (5,421,181) in view of Sparks (2,002,966). Ahrweiler discloses an extrusion press having prestressed tension rods (4) and compression beams (7) interconnecting a cylinder crosshead (1) and a counter crosshead (2) on which are mounted a movable crosshead (9) and a movable container (13) into which a billet is loaded. The movable crosshead and container are supported on guide units (15,16) which act as slide rails for the crosshead and container. Ahrweiler does not disclose that the guide units have rollers. Sparks teaches a movable crosshead (13) and a movable container (15) which are translated along a guide unit (44,45) which has rollers (43). The guide unit has roller blocks (45) mounted to the press frame (T). On page 3, column 2, lines 53-60 Sparks teaches that the container sits via free supports (75) having rollers pads (77). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to provide the guide units of Ahrweiler with rollers as taught by Sparks in order to transmit the weight of the crosshead and container to the press frame.

Page 3

Regarding claim 3, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to provide as many guide units as necessary to support the crosshead and container.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,770 Page 4

Art Unit: 3725

The prior art of record does not disclose that the free supports each have a pressure plate supporting a ball part bearing via a slide plate on the crosshead and container.

Claims 7 and 8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and intervening claims 4 to 6.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ed Tolan whose telephone number is 571-272-4525. FAX communications should be sent to 571-273-8300.

EDTOLAN PRIMARY EXAMINER